

**The Attackers: Assault on Client Nation America: Progressive Coup d'état Internally, Islamic Intrigue Externally: Multiculturalism & Free Exercise Combine to Alter Our Legal System; the Barbary Pirates of the 1640s to the 1800s; Silence of the Imams; Terrorism Swapped for Sharia Law in Europe**

18. Client nation America is under assault internally by believers who unwittingly reside in the cosmic systems of arrogance and hatred.
19. It is true that the Progressives have executed a bloodless coup d'état, the problem is that believers have become so arrogant that the doctrines of demons have gained ascendancy.
20. Secondly, the Republic is under assault externally by heathen who wittingly carry out the orders of those who represent the Dark Side which is religion in general and Islam in particular.
21. We are now able to recognize how the evil of multiculturalism and the constitutional right of free exercise of religion are being utilized to dismantle the legal system of our country.
22. The war on terror is presently in a transition away from violent acts of murder and mayhem over to legal maneuverings to incorporate sharia law into the West.
23. Two articles highlight this process; the first documents that Islam has justified its attacks on the United States as far back as the 1640s, illustrated by the piracy and enslavement of Christians engaged in by the Barbary states of North Africa: Tunis, Algiers, Morocco, and Tripoli:

**The Barbary states,† nominally subject to the Ottoman Empire, were essentially free to do as they wished, so long as they paid their annual tributes to the rulers in Istanbul. The states lived on piracy; for centuries they had been preying on European shipping in the Mediterranean and outside the Strait of Gibraltar in the eastern Atlantic, and they had seized the ships of American colonists as early as the 1640s.**

---

† “The name originates from the Berbers, chief and oldest known inhabitants of the region, and was for centuries associated with the coastal pirates who preyed upon Mediterranean shipping” (*Encyclopaedia Britannica*, 1:806).

In 1662 England had been the first to make a deal with the pirates, believing it would prove cheaper in the long run to make annual payments to the Barbary rulers than to wage war upon them. Most other European powers followed suit. What resulted was an inherently corrupt system, little more than bribery legalized by treaty. But cold economic calculation—the costs of marine insurance; the actual loss of ships, crews, and goods; and the pirates' demands for ransom in exchange for white Christian captives—tipped the balance in favor of simply paying them off.

The system was subject to continual slippage, as the Barbary rulers thought of treaties not as inviolable contracts between states but as pawns in a game. If they thought they could get a better deal, they swept them from the board. Their sense of honor did not extend to Christians. [Thomas] Jefferson—minister plenipotentiary to the French court, sent to negotiate trade agreements with France and other European powers—discovered this during a March 1785 meeting in London with John Adams, then representing the United States at the Court of St. James, and Tripoli's ambassador at large, who had recently declared war on the United States. Preparing to negotiate the usual treaty to set the rate of the annual tribute, the two Americans asked the ambassador about the “grounds of their pretensions to make war upon nations who had done them no injury?”

The ambassador answered that it was “written in their Koran that all nations which had not acknowledged the prophet [Muhammad] were sinners, whom it was the right and duty of the faithful to plunder and enslave.”<sup>2</sup>

24. Piracy is presently restricted to the East Coast of Africa and terrorist attacks are being deemphasized in favor of political transformation.
25. The enduring tragedy of the Arab attack on the United States in September of 2001 is the absence of any meaningful effort to retaliate.
26. Instead of a serious strategy, both diplomatic and military, to convince the Arab states that attacking our country is unacceptable and will not be tolerated, we have instead molycoddled the attackers both politically and religiously.

---

<sup>2</sup> Anthony Brant, “Tripoli Pirates Foiled,” *Military History*, November 2011, 34–35.

27. All diplomatic relations with Arab states should have been ended by the recall of ambassadors; all Arabs in country on visa or passports should have been deported; and all Muslim imams should have been pressured to collectively condemn the act and counsel their congregations to not only refrain from participating in such actions but to openly oppose them. Failure to do so would have clearly revealed American Islam as a fifth column.
28. The silence of the imams for over a decade is stark proof that Muslims in America are either in agreement with the acts of the terrorists or they are afraid of retribution from their religious leaders if they should speak out against them.
29. On our part, we have allowed multiculturalism to influence policy so that we have gone out of our way to appease the entire Arab world rather than chastise it.
30. To further complicate the problem we have waged two wars, each resulting in stalemates, demonstrated by the implementation of intractable and dangerous rules of engagement by incompetent civilian authorities and high-ranking military officers.
31. Separation of church and state, the holy grail of Progressive efforts to restrict any biblical influence on the commonwealth, has been ignored in favor of appeasing Islam.
32. Suffering either from the Stockholm syndrome or blinded by ideology, jurisprudence has allowed sharia doctrine to be incorporated into our common law, thus legitimizing its tyrannical doctrines and setting precedence for future cases seeking recognition of sharia laws.
33. This article by Mark Steyn illustrates the problem:

Suppose, for the sake of argument, you share the goal of Osama bin Laden and his surviving lieutenants: In other words, you desire to see the world dominated by a new caliphate. Is it really that helpful to have the livelier lads flying planes into skyscrapers?

As we saw a decade ago, even the most somnolent superpower will feel obligated to respond, and your great warrior skeikh will find himself scuttling over the mountains to hole up in a succession of cramped malodorous “safe houses” with too many child brides, unable to place a phone call or do anything except issue periodic cassette monologues of warmed-over Michael Moore talking points. And one day, eventually, however long it takes, you’re watching infidel porn on your grainy 14” TV and the door gets kicked in and Seal Team Six are ready for your close-up before you’ve had a chance to dunk your beard in knock-off Grecian Formula.

That sort of counter-jihad America does well.

On every other front, it does incredibly badly. So, after a decade in Afghanistan, the strategists at the Pentagon are still hoping to win the “hearts and minds” of warlords, pederasts, and heroin dealers by using the fraudulent touchy-feely memoir *Three Cups of Tea*.<sup>3</sup>

The designation of the “war on terror” was the first equivocation, and one that hobbled its strategists: For, in the absence of “terror,” where was the “war”? ... over the course of the decade, the more alert the security state was to shoe-bombers, panty-bombers, implant-bombers, and suppository-bombers, the more indulgent it grew of any Islamic initiative that stopped short of self-detonation.

What, after all, is al-Qaeda's end game? They want the West to live under Islamic law. Hey, take a number and get in line. So does Imam Rauf, the Ground Zero Mosque guy, who was in Scotland the other day at a “Festival of Spirituality and Peace” arguing that sharia should be incorporated into U.K. and U.S. law. He’s such a “moderate Muslim” that he’s subsidized with your tax dollars: The State Department bought thousands of copies of his unreadable book to distribute at U.S.-embassy events throughout the Middle East, and they paid for his book tour. Flying Imam Rauf to the United Arab Emirates to talk to other imams apparently comes under State’s “multifaith outreach” program. Wait a minute: He’s an imam, they’re imams. Where’s the multifaith?

---

<sup>3</sup> A book by Greg Mortenson and David O. Relin published by Penguin in 2006. It remained on the *New York Times* nonfiction bestseller’s list for four years. Mortenson was co-founder of the Central Asia Institute that oversees the building of schools for girls in Pakistan and Afghanistan. His book is the result of discovering a remote Pakistani village where he was befriended by its citizens after becoming lost in a mountain-climbing expedition. The book’s title is taken from a Balti [or Kashmiri proverb]: The first time you share tea with a Balti, you are a stranger. The second time you take tea, you are an honored guest. The third time you share a cup of tea, you become family.

In Edinburgh, Imam Rauf was at pains to reassure the crowd that his plans for sharia-compliant common law wouldn't involve any stoning and whatnot. On the other hand, on page 58 of his book *Islam: A Sacred Law*, he says that with sharia you can't pick and choose: It's a set menu, or else. So Imam Rauf largely shares al-Qaeda's goal. But why hold that against him? So does the Archbishop of Canterbury, who's argued for the incorporation of sharia into British law. ... the French de facto acceptance of polygamy in *les banlieues* [the suburbs], and the British Department of Pensions' de jure recognition of polygamy for the purposes of widows' benefits, and the American Academy of Pediatrics' proposal that its members meet female genital mutilation halfway by offering to perform a "ritual nick" on Muslim girls, all suggest that, as long as you mothball your Semtex belt and don't rush the cockpit, the Western world will concede almost anything in order to demonstrate its *multiculti bona fides*.

A few months ago, I walked at sunset from downtown Malmö to Rosengård.<sup>4</sup> The gaps between Nordic blondes grew longer and the gaps between fiercely bearded young men grew shorter, and I finally was in the heart of Islamic Sweden. No blondes in sight. All the women were covered, including those who'd never been so back in their native lands: That's to say, they adopted, perforce, the veil only when they moved to Sweden. Sweden! Land of arthouse erotica.

No one flew a plane into any buildings in Rosengård. No one had to. Islam's good cop proved cannier than its bad: The losers holed up in the caves want to nuke us. The shrewder Islamic imperialists want to own us. Ten years on, stealth jihad is proving a better bet.<sup>5</sup>

---

<sup>4</sup> A city district in Malmö, Sweden. In 2008, a total 86% of the population in the city district was of foreign background. Although frequently incorrectly referred to as a suburb, Rosengård constitutes an integral part of Malmö city.

<sup>5</sup> Mark Steyn, "Happy Warrior: The Department of Sharia," *National Review*, September 2011, 56.