



Influence from the Dark Side: Its Professors, 2 Cor 11:13-15; Its Curriculum: Lebedoff's *The Uncivil War*; Its Oxymoron: "There are no Absolutes"

- (14) Let's define some of these words that are important to the doctrine of separation:

Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary, 11th ed., s.v.:

Sane: Proceeding from a sound mind; rational; mentally sound; especially able to anticipate and appraise the effect of one's actions.

Illusion: the action of deceiving; a misleading image presented to the vision; something that deceives or misleads intellectually.

Standard: something established by authority, custom, or general consent as a model or example; applies to any definite rule, principle, or measure established by authority.

The New Oxford American Dictionary, s.v.:

Rational: able to think clearly, sensibly, and logically; endowed with the capacity to reason.

- (15) From these definitions let's paraphrase:

Romans 12:2 - [paraphrase] Stop being manipulated by popular opinion but be transformed by the renovation of your thinking so that you may confirm what the will of God is, namely, divine good produced by divine viewpoint from maximum doctrine.

v. 3- I say through the grace that has been given to me to everyone who is among you, stop thinking of self in terms of arrogant self-centeredness beyond normal self-awareness, but think clearly and logically from a sound mind so as not to be deceived by visual and rhetorical illusions as God has assigned to each one of us a standard of thinking from rules and principles contained in the doctrines of Scripture.

- (16) Some principles that need to be considered: first of all this is the Devil's world. He has possessed its rulership since the fall in Eden and has recruited willing advocates to deceive as many as possible away from the divine standards revealed in Scripture. Paul describes such people in:

2 Corinthians 11:13 - Such men are false apostles, deceitful workers, disguising themselves as apostles of Christ.

v. 14 - And no wonder, for even Satan disguises himself as an angel of light.

v. 15 - Therefore it is not surprising if his servants also disguise themselves as servants of righteousness; whose end shall be according to their deeds.

- (17) The deceit that has paralyzed the capacity of our nation's youth to think rationally is the satanic trifecta of (1) multiculturalism, (2) diversity, and (3) tolerance.
- (18) These three "standards" are required by the current culture and taught by the federal schools as essentials for a world that is nondiscriminatory.
- (19) Their logic is flawed but the results have been quite effective to the detriment of four generations of our citizens who are unable, even incapable, of recognizing wrongdoing because they have concluded that nothing anyone does is actually wrong.



- (20) When it is accepted that to discriminate is wrong, even evil, then all behaviors, cultures, customs, religions, attitudes, opinions, and standards are equally right and must be tolerated otherwise you are narrow-minded, intolerant, mean-spirited, and deranged.
- (21) This is the ideology of moral relativism which has erased any recognition of right and wrong from the national consciousness.
- (22) Moral relativism is a tactic being used by the Dark Side to propagandize the ignorant into accepting a global society. If a global economy is to be successful then there must be a global culture to support it.
- (23) Consequently, the differences between presently competing cultures must be syncretized or tolerated in order to maintain the harmony desired for an imagined global commonwealth.
- (24) The evil motivation behind moral relativism is the subject of a chapter in a book by:

Lebedoff, David. "The Collapse of Moral Relativism," Chap. 9 in *The Uncivil War: How the New Elite Is Destroying Our Democracy*. (Dallas: Taylor Trade Publishing, 2004), 113-15:

Moral relativism was the moral code of the New Elite [i.e., Progressives]. It's the absolute certainty that there are no absolutes. It's the insistence that there is no such thing as right and wrong. You have no choice but to believe this if you don't believe in the wisdom of the majority.

It works like this: the New Elite uses morality as an excuse to prevail despite lack of popular support. (p. 113)

The process isn't automatic. The right to contend is not the right to prevail. The New Elite doesn't want to wait. Moral certainty should be enough. Faced with it, everyone else should fall in line—at once.

The problem of course is that the majority also has moral convictions. Sometimes, these conflict with the moral certainties of the educated elite. So who should prevail? Not always the majority. [A necessary adjunct to majority rule is a written constitution guaranteeing certain inalienable rights.](#)

NOTE: This explains why Progressive judges are motivated to discover all kinds of unstated "rights" in the Constitution. If the ideologies of the anointed elite are in the minority of public opinion, then they are forced to create their presence in the Constitution and then decree them to be "rights."

But not all moral positions are protected by a written constitution. Most of them are fought out in the market place. The problem is, the New Elite has found a way around the necessity to compete. (Why compete with people less gifted? It takes a long time, and even worse, *they* might win.)

So this is how the new class handles moral disputes: each person's moral views should be respected. (So far, so good.) Each person's moral views should *prevail*. (Wait a second.)

Moral relativism says that we don't have to compete because each of us is right. Of course, those who say this don't really mean it. It's an excuse that permits them to pound the morality table for their own causes without having to listen to anyone else. But that's not what they say. Instead, they say that morality is relative.

Moral relativists are not people who claim that there are two sides to every question. They're people who believe that there are no right answers to any question. They say "Your answer is as good as mine," by which they really mean that their own answer, however stupid or unprovable, is every bit as good as your answer, even if yours passes with flying colors and tests of logic, science, and history. (p. 114)

Everything that (the moral relativists) say is always right, because to them there is no wrong. If you disagree with them, the closest they come to graciousness is to say that you're the victim of your culture. Which, somehow, they are not. (pp. 114-115)



Moral relativists believe that there are different truths for different people. What is true for you is not true for me. It's all relative.

It's all nonsense. What they are really saying is that there is no such thing as truth. No absolute truth. No absolute majority. Only different cultures. And if multiculturalism is desirable, well, then multimoralism must be desirable, too. Every culture must be respected (except, of course, one's own). So if a country views women as subhuman, denies them even the right to literacy, then we must respect that. Because everything is relative. What's wrong for us is right for them. There is no absolute right or wrong. All views, even contradictory ones, must be respected.

Just think about it. This view has pervaded the intellectual life of our time, and through it the popular culture. "If it works for you, it must be right."

By the dawn of the twenty-first century, moral relativism dominated public discourse. It was the underlying assumption of many of our leading "thinkers" and their leading thoughts. So dominant had this assumption grown within our culture that it was no longer enough to dissolve the difference between right and wrong. Now we have to blur as well the distinction between good and evil. Anything goes, just so long as the action was "understandable." (p. 115)

- (25) And so we have the apparent contradiction of American Progressives basically ignoring the terrorists' horror shows of towering infernos, televised beheadings, and marketplace bombings (and now the smoldering subways of the London Underground's King's Cross and Russell Square stations) while at the same time decrying the alleged mistreatment of those held responsible for these atrocities at Guantánamo Bay.