

Clanking Chains: Totalitarian Tactics of the Anointed; Arrogance Complex: Attitude, Negative Volition, Authority, Ps 12:2-3; Ps 94:1-7,19-23

11- Motivated by personality arrogance, the anointed have acquired power in three major areas of influence by means of three tactics that are characteristic of the totalitarian personality they allegedly hope to eliminate:

1) <u>Intimidation through the media:</u>

Oxford English Dictionary, s.v. "intimidate; intimidation":

To render timid; inspire with fear; to overawe, cow; in modern use *esp*. to deter from some action by threats of violence.

The action of intimidating or making afraid; to interfere with the free exercise of political or social rights.

The ideology of the Frankfurt School acquired it reputation as the "mainstream thought of modern America" through the assistance of its emissaries in the media who are complicit it the effort to transform our culture into an utopian democracy.

The adult population is intimidated into accepting progressive principles since to "buck the tiger" means "reactionaries" will be classified as "out of the mainstream," "insensitive," "mean-spirited," "intolerant," "narrow-minded," or "prejudiced." Violators are assumed to be possibly in need of therapy, potentially a Fascist, a future anti-Semitic, and if a parent, someone who needs to be watched very closely for evidence of using "authoritarian methods" in rearing his children.

2) <u>Indoctrination through academia</u>:

The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language, s.v. "indoctrinate":

To teach to accept a system of thought uncritically.

Through better than a half century of support from the schools of education at major universities, American youth has been indoctrinated by faculties trained in the thinking, the rationales, and the decision-making theories of the Frankfurt philosophers. The media intimidates the parents while the schools indoctrinate their children. And what makes it all legal as well as affordable is the support of the Supreme Court by means of:

3) Incorporation through res judicata \ras ju-di-ca'ta\:

First, let's define "incorporation":

Gifis, Steven H. *Dictionary of Legal Terms*. 3d ed. (Hauppaugge: Barron's Educational Series, 1998), 448-49:

SELECTIVE INCORPORATION: The process by which certain of the guarantees expressed in the **Bill of Rights** become applicable to the states through the **Fourteenth Amendment**. Under the **TOTAL INCORPORATION APPROACH**, an approach never adopted by a majority of the Supreme Court, all the Bill of Rights and the attendant case law interpreting them, are applied to the states. Under the selective incorporation approach, select guarantees in the Bill of Rights and their related case law are applied to the states.

Paragraphs 2 through 6 of *The Clanking Chains* document the history of this tactic which has in many respects neutralized the protections of the Constitution as intended by its writers, signers, and ratifiers. This "selective incorporation" began with the First Amendment and the "total incorporation approach" has continued to be applied to case law down to this very day.



In The Clanking Chains, paragraph 2 we studied the original intent of the First Amendment. The rationale utilized to alter the amendment's original intent was Thomas Jefferson's innocuous and irrelevant remark that the First Amendment built a "wall of separation between church and state." We looked into the "background" of this statement in paragraph 3 and its "context" in paragraph 4.

The Supreme Court decisions that were critical in establishing the "wall of separation" phrase as the logical vehicle by which the Bill of Rights could be "selectively incorporated" into the Fourteenth Amendment and thus apply to the states was the subject of paragraph 5, "'Wall of Separation': Shackles for the Chains." And finally, we revealed in paragraph 6 that these shackles can be broken by simple acts of Congress, "How Congress Can Regulate the Supreme Court."

However, today there is a large body of case law that has established legal precedence for these illegal assaults upon the Constitution and its Bill of Rights. This precedence makes it impossible to legally debate the original intent of the Founders and therefore these decisions stand res judicata.

Gifis, Dictionary of Legal Terms, 423:

RES JUDICATA: Latin: a thing decided; a matter adjudged. The phrase reflects a rule by which a final judgment by a court of competent jurisdiction is conclusive upon the parties in any subsequent litigation involving the same cause of action.

Although a few justices have criticized the gross misapplication of Jefferson's phrase to the Court's decisions, most notably, current Chief Justice William H. Rehnquist in Wallace v. Jaffree [472 U.S. 38 (1985)], the fact remains that the Bill of Rights, with emphasis on the First Amendment, has been selectively incorporated into the Fourteenth Amendment and as a result it stands as *res judicata*: a thing decided.

- 12- Although these tactics should remind the Frankfurt philosophers of the Fascism from which they fled they are either self-deluded by their arrogance or they self-justify their use of them under the principle: What advances the revolution is moral, what obstructs it is not.
- 13- The Frankfurt philosophers apparently failed to apply their own theories to their own crusade. Theodor Adorno writes in *The Authoritarian Personality* (p. 5) that:

Personality is an enduring organization of forces within an individual. These persisting forces help determine responses in various situations and it is to them that consistency of behavior is attributable.

- 14-The "persisting forces" Adorno identifies emphasize the various manifestations of the sinful nature. The "responses in various situations" actually speak of the manifestations of the sinful nature's trends. In the case of the Frankfurt crowd these "responses" involve almost every category of the arrogance complex:
 - 1) Attitude Arrogance: Mental attitude sins motivate evil. Evil is the plan of Satan which promotes the execution of the dark side of morality. The motivational sins which are applicable to the Frankfurt philosophers are (1) jealousy, an intolerance of those regarded as unfaithful, e.g., the West which allowed Germany to become anti-Semitic; (2) implacability, an unwillingness to forgive or reconcile; (3) vindictiveness, the mental attitude behind revenge; (4) self-pity, a self-indulgent emphasis on one's own misfortunes; (5) anger, righteous indignation to right wrongs; it fuels (6) bitterness, a virulent animosity that is full of malice and hostility; and (7) fear, a phobia of anti-Semitism that justifies all means to eliminate its recurrence in history.



- 2) Negative Volition: Self-absorption denies the validity of biblical truth and in fact turns in hatred against Christianity, expresses animosity toward it teachings, and vilifies its followers.
- 3) Authority Arrogance: This is the sin that motivated Lucifer's rebellion. He rejected divine authority and resolved to establish his own. Since then, this form of arrogance has been expressed in two ways: (1) like Lucifer, those under authority despise their leaders while (2) those in authority abuse their power. Both Lucifer and mankind have demonstrated both. We note first the arrogance of those under authority:
 - Psalm 12:2 They speak falsehood to one another; with flattering lips and with a double heart they speak.
 - v. 3 May the Lord cut off all flattering lips, the tongue that speaks great things;

Psalm 12:4 - who have said, "With our tongue we will prevail; our lips are our own: who is lord over us?"

> Secondly, we see the expression of those in authority arrogance in Psalm 94, a chapter described by Franz Delitzsch in Biblical Commentary on the Psalms as "the consolation of prayer under the oppression of tyrants":

Psalm 94:1 - O Lord, God of vengeance; God of vengeance, shine forth!

- v. 2 Rise up, O judge of the earth; render recompense to the proud.
- v. 3- How long shall the wicked, O Lord, how long shall the wicked exult?
- v. 4 They pour forth words, they speak arrogantly; all who do wickedness vaunt themselves.
- v. 5 They crush Your people, O Lord, and afflict Your heritage.
- v. 6 They slay the widow and the stranger, and murder the orphans.
- v. 7 And they [the Israelites] have said, "The Lord does not see, nor does the God of Jacob pay heed."
- v. 19 When my anxious thoughts multiply within me, Your consolations delight my soul.
- v. 20 Can a throne of destruction be allied with You, one which devises mischief by decree?
- v. 21 They band themselves together against the life of the righteous, and condemn the innocent to death.
- v. 22 But the Lord has been my stronghold, and my God the rock of my refuge.
- v. 23 And He has brought back their wickedness upon them, and will destroy them in their evil. The Lord our God will destroy them.

©P 2002 Joe Griffin



02-10-06-B.CC02-39 / 4

This prayer's petition could be directed toward a number of antagonists of the present day: (1) Those in academia, media, politics, and the law who relentlessly teach, promote, legislate, and enforce the philosophies of the Frankfurt School; (2) those who are participants in, contributors to, supporters of, or havens of refuge for terrorists and terrorist organizations; (3) those in Islam who are supportive of *jihad* \juh-hod'\ against the West in general and Christianity in particular; and (4) citizens of the United States who give support to, vote for, and condone the behavior of evil, corrupt, immoral, and even criminal politicians who promote cultural Marxism.