



## How Decadence Destroys Societies: The Dominance of Homosexuality: Popular Acceptance Violates the Rules of Engagement, Gen 19:1-5

22. Again, these cities of the pentapolis did not constitute a client nation. The first client nation was Israel beginning in 1441 B.C. at the Exodus.
23. However, this event is an illustration of the national postulates of divine integrity. Jesus Christ controls history on behalf of the Pivot, and in the case of Sodom and Gomorrah, their fate was dependent on as few as ten righteous people.
24. Those with the advantage were only three. This meant that these decadent cities did not have enough advantages to sustain their continued existence.
25. Please also note that the Lord has no interest in the heathen nations of Lucifer's kingdom. He only intervenes into their affairs when the rules of engagement for the appeal trial are violated.
26. The rules of engagement include the four divine institutions which include (1) volition, (2) marriage, (3) family and (4) the nation. The citizens of Sodom and Gomorrah were into rampant, unrestrained, and unfettered homosexuality which is a threat to all four.
27. As a result they violated the free will of all those who lived there and would viciously attack any who visited.
28. Apparently, Lot had divine protection that the homosexual population came to respect. However, they assumed that Lot's visitors were fair game.
29. Ignorant of who these "visitors" were, they made the critical error of lusting after two elect angels who appeared in the form of men.
30. At Sodom the two angels became targets of these homosexual's lust to literally sodomize them. This aggression against free will is found in:

**Genesis 19:1 - Now the two angels came to Sodom in the evening as Lot was sitting in the gate of Sodom. When Lot saw them, he rose to meet them and bowed down with his face to the ground.**

1. Lot had managed to become politically correct. Although straight himself, he accepted the behavior of his fellow citizens without question and under a platform of diversity and tolerance had become one of the judges who executed jurisprudence at the gate of the city.

**v. 2 - And he said, "Now behold, my lords, please turn aside into your servant's house, and spend the night, and wash your feet; then you may rise early and go on your way." They said however, "No, but we shall spend the night in the square."**

**v. 3 - Yet Lot urged the two angels strongly, so they turned aside to him and entered his house; and he prepared a feast for them, and baked unleavened bread, and they ate.**

2. These angels knew of the situation in the pentapolis of which Sodom was the most corrupt. Their duty was to pull a situation report for the Lord. They could have slept anywhere they chose since there was nothing any or all of the sodomites could have tried that these two angels could not have dealt with.
3. The reason the angels suggested that they would sleep in the street was to find out how much Lot knew and obviously Lot knew a lot. This is why Lot, who assumed them to be men, invited them inside his own home for the evening.



**v. 4 - Before they lay down, the men of the city, the men of Sodom, surrounded the house, both young and old, all the people from every quarter.**

4. Here is biblical documentation of what happens in a society when homosexual behavior is officially accepted as a legitimate behavior. Acceptance of this lifestyle had obviously been promoted over the course of several generations.
5. No doubt the sex education classes in the schools of the pentapolis presented homosexuality as just another lifestyle as opposed to a deviant behavior.
6. In fact, this has been communicated for so long that by the time the angels arrived the idea had become so favorable in the minds of the people that all were not only in agreement but also involved in the behavior, “both young and old, all the people, from every quarter” of the city.
7. This verse indicates why homosexuality is a violation of the rules of engagement. It is one of the most aggressive and insatiable lust patterns of the sinful nature. It is so powerful that it quickly develops into a path of least resistance. It provides instant gratification with no required responsibility toward the other person.
8. In fact, the more self-centered a person is the more susceptible he is to this lust pattern. Being a narcissist his erotic desire for himself, which cannot be adequately assuaged, is projected upon another as a surrogate self. But no one can ever measure up to the importance of self and no one can ever respond to self to the degree expected. Therefore, the lust for new partners and extreme tactics in search of self-gratification increase to the point of the bizarre and the grotesque.
9. Back in the early '90s I did a study on the entire problem of homosexuality. Those tapes have long since been lost but research done at the time revealed these things as we went through an exegetical analysis of Romans 1. The insatiable lust and the aggression utilized to assuage it are demonstrated in:

**Genesis 19:5 - and they called to Lot and said to him, “Where are the men who came to you tonight? Bring them out [ אֲנָשִׁים ] yasa: Hiphil imperative: the mob commands Lot to cause the men to come outside ] to us that we may have relations with them [ לַעֲרֹל ] yatha: “that we may sodomize them ].”**

10. You will note that “No!” would not mean “no” to the inhabitants of Sodom. Homosexual lust eventually reaches a point of intensity that focuses only on its own satisfaction. The rights, wishes, or decisions of others are disregarded. How long will it be before disapproval of homosexuality is a crime? How long before refusing to participate in its behavior is a crime? These are not far-fetched questions as is evidenced by this article in the section called:

**“The Week.” *National Review*, 24 Nov. 2003, 14:**

It is not yet a crime to disapprove of homosexuality, but it sometimes seems as though that's where we are headed. Most recently, a court in Denver has forbidden a mother to tell her child that homosexuality is wrong. The mother will, in fact, be in contempt of court if she exposes her daughter to any ideas “that can be considered homophobic.” Mother and daughter were formerly two of the three components of one of those wonderful new styles of “family” the sexual revolution has brought us, the third component being Mom's lesbian lover (who had, and has, no legal relationship to the child at all). Then Mom became a devout Christian, concluded that her homosexuality was sinful, and broke up the “family.” Inexplicably, the court awarded joint custody to both mommies, and the ban on “homophobia” was included in the deal. In a single generation we have gone from universal disapproval of homosexuality, to tolerance, to court-mandated approval. As the old joke asks: How long before they make it compulsory?



11. Historical trends in the United States are heading that way but it is not a new wrinkle in the machinations of corrupt mankind. The sodomites of Sodom were driven by insatiable lust and the arrival of a couple of handsome visitors provided new opportunity to assuage their appetites.
12. And when it comes to Stud Muffins, nobody measures up to an angel. These guys are what might be jestingly referred to in this context as “drop-dead gorgeous.” The homosexuals of Sodom are going to make their play but what they will get in return is “drop-dead fiery brimstone.”