

Clanking Chains: "Everson v. Board of Education": Effects, Impact, & Ramifications on Free Exercise, State Sovereignty, & Community Standards

- This 1947 decision became the base of operations for Lucifer's campaign to bring an end to morality in America. Here's how it worked:
 - 1) The Fourteenth Amendment was confirmed as the precedent that allowed the Courts to interpret First Amendment restrictions imposed by the states on Congress to apply also to the states. This meant that the states could not have any association to any degree with any of the religious institutions within it borders.
 - 2) Although the First Amendment was designed to prevent the federal government from setting up a national religion as was practiced in England, the new interpretation invented an "individual religious freedom" that was not intended.

Although the states recognized that a person had a right to choose whether or not he would become associated with a religious institution, this was not the subject or the intent of the First Amendment. Its Establishment Clause was solely designed to keep the federal government from establishing a national religion and imposing it upon all the states.

3) The Court also asserted that the Free Exercise Clause applied to the states and thus emphasized the individual over the wishes of the majority of the people.

> This allowed Lucifer to send in his emissaries. We have seen how Bible readings and devotionals have been banned from the classroom and in public places because as few as one person might be offended by the activity. In addition organizations such as People for the American Way, Planned Parenthood, the American Civil Liberties Union, and Americans United have been successful in censoring all religious discussion from schools while replacing it with the philosophical propaganda of Enlightenment thought.

> The most recent example of this sort is the successful effort by a California parent to have the Pledge of Allegiance banned in the Ninth District.

- The prohibition against Congress establishing a religion was expanded to apply to 4) the states. This resulted in denying states the right to pass laws showing preference of one religion over another. Where in the past the states could have prevented certain religions from becoming officially established in their borders, now they could not. Thus in the past Islam could have been restricted by the states, but now to do so is illegal.
- 5) With these principles established, the Court then applied the power of the government to tax the people into the equation. The power of federal income tax had only been passed in 1913 under the Sixteenth Amendment. Now tax dollars are interpreted as a means of denying religions freedoms in public schools. The federal support of public education, even in the smallest amount, was interpreted as converting local schools into government sponsored institutions. Therefore all religious activities must be banned under the principle of the "wall of separation between church and state."
- This meant that schools could not host any religious activities under the First 6) Amendment's Establishment Clause. This resulted in successful attempts to legally ban Bible readings, devotionals, and prayers issued at sporting events, graduations, school board meetings, and even baccalaureate exercises.

©P 2002 Joe Griffin



02-08-01.CC02-03 / 2 \Box

- Everson v. Board of Education and similar decisions enabled courts to ban recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance, performance of certain religious compositions by Johann Sebastian Bach, George Frideric Handel, and Gustav Mahler; the singing of Christmas carols, or referring to certain annual holidays by their religious designations, e.g., "Easter" holidays became "Spring" holidays and "Christmas" break became "Winter" break.
- Further prohibitions caused teachers to prohibit students from writing about religious figures, especially Christians from writing about Jesus or other prominent biblical characters.
- 42-Since Enlightenment thought's ultimate desire is to deconstruct Western culture the alleged "wall of separation of church and state" was often used to prevent Western literature from being taught in schools.
- 43-Christianity is found at the root of so much of Western culture that in order to abolish its influence then Western writings were banned under the rhetorical veil that its writers were primarily Dead White European Males.
- This idea co-opted the conspiratorial compartment called the Feminist Movement into the debate 44but the hidden Luciferian agenda continues to be the elimination of biblical thought from the classroom. What William F. McGuffey considered essential for his Readers became inappropriate for a multicultural and diverse society.
- Underlying all of these decisions was the Enlightenment philosophy that individual rights trump 45the rights of the community. There can be no exoterike harmonia among the people if the rights of a few individuals are allowed to overrule the desires of the majority.
- An individual has every right to think, speak, and behave in any manner he chooses as long has he does not violate the standards of the community in which he resides. A generation of children raised in an environment that restricts criminal behavior will commit a minimum amount of crime. If that community also prohibits behaviors it defines as immoral, than there will be a minimum amount of immorality among its children.
- Communities, be they states, counties, or cities, have the right to set these cultural standards and 47enforce them in order to maintain domestic tranquility and establish an environment in which the majority desires to live and prosper.
- 48-When attitudes change then these community standards will change and when they do these changes will eventually be reflected in the laws of that community.
- Some communities will maintain their standards and others will not but these changes will occur 49through a gradual ebb and flow in each community, not instantly throughout the commonwealth by judicial fiat of an unelected few from thousands of miles away and in opposition to freely established community standards.